Introduction

Pressure ulcers due to sustained unrelieved or inadequately relieved pressure, are an important clinical, humanitarian, and economic problem. Pressure dependent blood flow (BF) changes play a major role in the skin breakdown process with the greatest breakdown frequency at sites of bony prominences. The heel is particularly prone to such effects, in part because of its relatively lower resting BP and higher amounts of experienced surface pressure when under load. Local BF decreases during heel loading and BF recovery after unloading are involved in the breakdown process. Prior work showed that if the pressure supporting a heel was cycled at different rates, average BF over complete cycles was significantly greater when the level of pressure to which the heel was released was zero (full release) compared to a nonzero pressure value (partial release). But, because only two levels of pressure relief were investigated, complete and partial, the BF effects of intermediary levels of pressure relief are unknown. So, the present study sought to characterize the BF responses of the heel under conditions in which the heel was supported with a uniform pressure magnitude and duration but with three separate relief pressure levels.

Methods

Subjects: Twelve subjects medical school students were tested. All were free of vascular disease with ABI values of 1.13±0.02. None took meds impacting vascular reactivity. Group features (mean±SEM) were: age 29.8±1.3 yrs, height 66.4±1.2 inches and weight 148±17 lbs. Systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressures were normal at 107±7, 67±2 and 80.3±2 mmHg.

Protocol & Support Patterns: Subjects lay on a support surface with their heels on the end cell. Pressure in this supporting cell was under computer control, and could be made to vary between a constant upper limit of 20 mmHg and a variable lower limit of 10, 5 or 0 mmHg on a cyclic basis The overall test session was 30 minutes. The 1st cyclic pattern was started after a 10 min baseline recording interval with heel unloaded (0 mmHg).

Blood Flow: Heel skin blood flow (SBF) was monitored continuously with a thin, flat laser-Doppler probe affixed to the heel at the site of surface contact with tape. A second probe was placed on the foot dorsum just proximal to the union of the great and second toe. Foot SBF was used to judge if systemic changes in SBF occurred during the procedure. At the end, the biological zero (BZ) of both laser-Doppler probes were determined using a thigh cuff that was inflated to 40 mmHg above systolic blood pressure for two minutes. The BZ value was subtracted from all laser-Doppler raw values. Interface Pressure: At the end of the sequence, heel interface pressures (IP) were measured by a sensor placed between the heel and the supporting surface, six measurements of IF at each cell pressure were averaged.

Results

Interface Pressures: With end cell internal pressures set at 20, 10 and 5 mmHg, interface pressures (mmHg) were 140.9±8.5 (range 109-176), 78.6±1.3 (73-83) and 44.2±3.1 (39-60). This wide variation among subjects within cell pressures reflects the dependence of IP on multiple factors such as foot position, body habitus and heel shape. These IP levels indicate that the maximum cell pressure (20 mmHg) was greater than the average systolic pressure (107 mmHg), the cell pressure of 10 mmHg was slightly less than the group average diastolic pressure (80.3 mmHg), and the support cell pressure of 5 mmHg was less than the group average diastolic pressure.

Features of SBF Responses: Cell pressurization to 20 mmHg caused a decrease in SBF to a level that was at or close to the biological zero. This indicates that the maximum cell pressure caused a heel ischemia for all or most of its application. Foot dorsum SBF was not affected by either cell pressurization or pressure relief, indicating that heel SBF change was a localized phenomena. The SBF change accompanying pressure relief depended on the relief pressure level. Thus, release to zero mmHg was always associated with significant hyperemia, release to 5 mmHg normally had some hyperemia, and release to 10 mmHg cell pressure had a marginal or absent hyperemic response. When the hyperemia was low or absent during the relief pressure, subsequent release to 0 mmHg was always associated with a significant further flow increase.

Conclusions/Implications

Results emphasize the important role of pressure relief level in dynamic surfaces for pressure ulcer prevention. Full alternation appears superior to partial or no alternation in achieving good levels of perfusion in heels. Since no standard exists as to what ‘alternating’ means, it is prudent to know full details of the exact nature of any product’s alternating cycle before purchasing.

These findings, and other data, indicate that a suitable non-zero relief pressure depends on the relation between a patient’s diastolic blood pressure and tissue forces exerted on heel blood vessels. So, lower blood pressures likely need lower pressure relief levels, a concept well worth keeping in mind for patients who are hypotensive. The present results apply strictly if normal hyperemia potential is present. Impacts of depressed vascular responsiveness and/or diminished hyperemic reserve on qualitative and quantitative aspects are unknown. However, it is suspected that for such conditions (diabetes or peripheral vascular disease), relief-pressure would need to be reduced. Characterizing these patient groups is an important major investigative challenge.

References